

1871-012 Chancery Causes: Henry C. Slomp vs. Andrew G. Hart &c  
Lee Co.

Waller, Bailey, Reason, Parkey, Riddle, Manas, Reese,  
Cook, Olinger

CA. Debt



To the worshipful County Court of Lee County  
in Chancery Sitting

Your Orator Henry C  
of said County humbly complaining Sheweth  
that in the month of April  
2<sup>d</sup> 18<sup>th</sup> your Orator again  
for a claim due him  
This warrant in one of  
said months was returned before John Riddle  
a Justice of the peace <sup>of said County</sup> for trial. - Your Orator  
and the said Plaintiff appeared before him at  
the appointed time and the evidence in the  
case was heard but the said Justice did  
not then render his judgment in the cause  
stating that he would take time to consider  
thereof. Your Orator afterwards and within  
ten days from the trial went to the residence  
of the said Justice to be informed as to his  
judgment in the said case, intending if  
the Justice rendered a judgment agreeable  
to him to take an appeal therefrom or  
a new trial but when he was  
thus dwelling he found that he  
was absent from home having gone to the  
State of Kentucky and did not return  
home for some weeks afterwards. The first  
time after then when your Orator saw the  
said Justice he inquired of him as to the disposi-  
tion he had <sup>made</sup> of the case. He replied that he  
had not yet given any judgment and thus  
the matter rested. Your Orator supposing  
that the said Justice would notify him  
of his action within due time after he  
rendered his judgment so that he might



have an opportunity to take an appeal  
or apply for a new trial if he were  
dissatisfied with his decision. But  
your Order now states that about  
month ago Harry L. Waller, a J.  
of said County, when  
of your Order was filed  
informed your Order that  
Justice Riddle had rendered a judgment  
in said case against him for some  
seven dollars and for which a writ  
of fieri facias had issued and was  
then in the hands of the said Constable  
to be executed who lived the same  
on your Order's property. Your  
Order considers himself aggrieved by this  
proceeding and is advised that the same  
is irregular and illegal because the  
said Justice ought not to have  
indefinitely continued the case and then  
render his judgment without a  
petition to the parties to enter  
to take an appeal therefrom. Your  
Order states that he considers  
that injustice has been done to him  
as he was not indebted to the  
said Hart in the sum for which the  
said Justice rendered the said Justice  
but on the contrary the said Hart  
is and was at the rendition of said  
Judgment indebted to your Order  
of which satisfactory proof can be made.  
The object of this bill is to enjoin any  
further proceedings on said Judgment until

a hearing of the matter here in Seffort.\*  
His prayer therefore is that the said  
Andrew J. Hart and <sup>and Charles Garrison</sup> Harry L. Waller the  
Constables be made parties defendant  
here and answer the same on  
a hearing of the said  
petition and a  
verdict of your Order for  
the amount to which he shall show  
himself entitled and in the meantime  
the said Constables be restrained from  
all further action on said writ of fi  
fa. He further prays for further and  
general <sup>what</sup> all necessary process &c.  
Hagan & Pridemore

Sworn to in open Court this 15th day of  
February 1869. O. J. Morgan, clerk.

\* Your orator states that since transactions  
of the said Waller has, been  
in office, and the said execu-  
tion, into the hands, of one Charles  
Garrison, Constable, of said County, who has since  
lived on and advertised your orator's wharf for  
sale, your orator had therefore to give a  
forth-coming bond on said wharf or have the  
same sold, said Garrison and as for said bond  
said bond.



J.B. 11 # 4. 58

To show cause

1871 August. Decree, &  
" Decree + Cause St.  
from Docket, without  
-dice.

1871 (N.P.)  
Lynn C. Stimp

Bill - Iny

J. Hart et al

Feb Term  
Injunction granted per  
want to prayer of Bill.

Off to give bond re penalty

\$ 20 - See Order Book 385.

May. Aug. Sp. Term + Dec. Term

apt. Act of J. Hart filed.

Sept. Dec. Term confirmed

Act + Nov. Confirmed

Act cause Sep. for hearing by J. Hart

Dec. Injunction dissolved

1870. Jan. Inj. re-instituted + ordered

to J. Hart + D. S. S. to comply

Feb. Court. March. April. May

June 30 1871 the matter

settled by arbitration +

the award of the arbitrators

filed.

1870. Jan. July. Aug. Sept. Oct.

Nov. + Dec. contd.

1871. Jan. Feb. March. April.

May. June contd.

July. Aug. Sept. Oct. contd.

Nov. + Dec. contd.

1872. Jan. Feb. March. April.

May. June. July. Aug. Sept. Oct. contd.

Nov. + Dec. contd.



To the worshipful County Court of Lee County, in Chancery  
sitting. The separate answer of Andrew S. Hart, to the  
Bill of Complaint exhibited in your honorable Court, by  
Henry C. Stempf, against this respondent & others.

This Defendant reserving to himself the right to  
except to said Bill for its insufficiency in law, and its  
imperfections, & misstatement of facts, would so far as any  
answer thereto is required, respectfully state, that it is true  
that the said Complainant H. C. Stempf, sued out a warrant against  
this respondent, and placed it in the hands of one Harvey J. Wallen  
a Constable of said County, who summoned your respondent, to appear  
before one John Riddle, a justice of said County, who resided  
in another & different district, from that in which your respondent and  
the said Complainant resided, ~~and in~~ which the mutual indebtedness  
of the Pettr, and debt in said warrant arose. This respondent  
appeared before said justice more than once, & objected upon  
the above grounds to his trying said warrant, and finally  
the said Stempf abandoned said warrant, and thereupon  
the said Stempf & this respondent being present at the residence  
of the said justice, Riddle, with their witnesses, agreed that  
said justice might try said Cause, <sup>when a warrant was issued by him</sup> and settle the matters  
in dispute between them, and that the decision of said justice  
should be final in the matter, and the said justice heard  
the witnesses of each party, and took charge of the papers &  
accounts produced by each party, and it being late in the  
evening the parties adjourned from before the justice before  
he rendered his decision, and this respondent knew, nor  
heard no more of the case, until the Complainant had obtained  
from your worship, the injunction in this Cause.

It is likewise true, that some months afterwards, the said  
justice John Riddle, rendered a judgement in favor of  
this respondent, as he is informed & believes for the sum of  
seven Dollars & ninety seven cents, & the Costs, which went into  
the hands of the said Constable Wallen, and was afterwards  
transferred to the hands of Constable Garrison for collection.

Now upon this state of facts, your respondent thinks  
it strange that an injunction should be asked & obtained, to



restrain him, and the officers acting in his behalf, from the collection of his claim which has been fairly decided by the justice aforesaid, to be justly due him, and that too, when it is not even alleged that there was any fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or improper conduct upon the part of this respondent, in obtaining said judgment & execution, but the only ground, upon which equity is asked to exercise the arbitrary power of an injunction is, as shown in the Complainant's <sup>Bill</sup>, because he through his own negligence, and the irregular conduct, he seeks to fasten upon the justice, with which he does not pretend to connect this respondent, failed to obtain a new trial, or an appeal to Court from the judgment of said justice; and yet from the statement of the Bill itself, it clearly appears, that under the law, the Comptt could not have, & was not entitled to an appeal from the judgment of the justice in favor of this respondent, against the Complainant, because the said judgment was for less than Ten Dollars; and as to the question of a new trial if the Comptt, if he was entitled to one before said justice, he <sup>must</sup> show in his bill that he took the proper steps to obtain one, and was defeated in his efforts, by any treachery, or misconduct of this respondent, for he had not only 30 days which the law allows him, but the case was held up by the justice for several months, and said <sup>justice</sup> was not in Kentucky the whole of that time, nor even the 30 days allowed by law in which to obtain new trials, but was only absent as this respondent is informed & believes & therefore alleges, only from 5 to 8 days; Besides, if Comptt, had a thousand intentions to apply for a new trial in said Cause, he never gave this Deft the notice required by law, although he continued to reside in the County of Lee, nor was this Deft present when he made such application, if any such was ever made; and if the legal notice had been given to this Deft, he is advised that the absence of the justice even for the whole 30 days, could not have defeated said application, if regular & proper in other respects.

The effort upon the part of the Complainant, to set aside the judgment of the justice, which in the case in point is as final as the decision of the supreme <sup>Court</sup> of the state, and to open and re-try the case in a Court of Equity, when

the justice had full, and uncontroverted jurisdiction over the matter in controversy, and has not in any way transcended his power & authority; is an anomaly in equity jurisprudence, seldom, if ever met with, and if no better grounds for equity jurisdiction, is shown (and it is respectfully submitted none better have been exhibited in Complainant's Bill,) your respondent is advised that the Complainant's Bill will find but little favor with your worships upon a final & full hearing.

This respondent having now fully answered Comptt's Bill, so far as it is material to respond thereto, he now denies each & every allegation thereof, not herein before, answered, denied, confessed or avoided and prays to be hence dismissed with his costs & damages, & as in duty bound, he will ever pray &c.

Done for  
Deft.

Sworn to before me by A. J. Hark the 20th day of Aug. 1867.  
H. J. Morgan, D.C.



A. I. Hunt  
ads & answer  
F. B. Stemp



H. C. Shemp }  
vs } In Chancery.  
A. C. Hart & others }

This cause having by agreement of the parties, been referred to arbitration and the arbitrators D. S. Litten, David Cox and J. W. Scott, having returned their award, dated June 3<sup>rd</sup> 1871. and filed the same, in the cause on that day, and the parties by their counsel having agreed to waive, a rule to show cause against said award; - it <sup>is</sup> therefore, adjudged, ordered, and decreed, pursuant to said award, that the Deft Hart recover, of the said H. C. Shemp, the sum of Three Dollars, with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of May 1868, till paid, and the costs of this suit, and also his costs before the justice, and that said Shemp pay his own costs in this cause, & before said justice Riddle, and all the costs of this arbitration, except as hereafter named; that is to say, said Hart shall pay all costs incurred on the 1st Saturday in May 1871; and that the said Shemp, and Hart shall each pay, the said Arbitrators Four Dollars, as follows, Two Dollars to J. W. Scott, Two Dollars, to David Cox, and four Dollars to D. S. Litten; and to effectuate, said award, it is further adjudged, ordered, and decreed, that the injunction heretofore granted in this cause, be dissolved, as to the said Three Dollars & interest as aforesaid, and as to the residue of



of the judgement rendered by Justice Riddle  
in favor of the Deft Hart, against the said Blompe,  
be perpetuated; and there being no further  
reason for the continuance of this cause upon  
the docket, it is ordered that it be stricken ~~therefrom~~  
without prejudice to the right of the parties  
to reinstate the same, for the purpose of  
enforcing this decree.

W. L. Blompe

Pl<sup>y</sup> Decree

A. J. Hart & Son

Nov Term 1871.

Entered Oct. 14 1871.

Entered this  
Dec 11



H. C. Kemp  
vs  
A. I. Hunt & al } In Chancery.

The award of D. S. Lutton, David Cox. and  
J. W. Scott, the arbitrators to whom the parties in this  
cause, referred the matters in controversy in this suit,  
having been filed at the June Term 1871, and no  
exceptions being filed by either party to the same;  
& the Deft. waiving the rule as to their debarment  
it is ordered, that the Plff ~~& Deft~~, be summoned  
to appear <sup>here</sup> on the 1st day of the next September Term  
to shew good cause if any ~~they~~ can, why the said  
award should not be entered up as the decree of  
the Court.



A. E. Hart Val  
ads

H. C. Slump

Rule upon R. T. T.

Entered O. B. p 354.

J. B. West, D. C. C.

Entered this Decree

H. J. Morgan

Aug 26 - 1871



Henry C. Slings

(25)

A. J. Hart & al

Peff

Depts (

Iss. Chy

By agreement of the parties in this cause It is ordered that for as much as Richmond one of the arbitrators heretofore selected by the parties to aid in settling the difference in this cause he and he is hereby removed as such arbitrator, and by like consent John W. Scott and John A. Hayatt are substituted in the room and place of said Richmond who in conjunction with Dickson S. Setton one of the former arbitrators are to settle the matters of difference in this cause. And should either of the parties be dissatisfied with either of said Scott or Hayatt, then such one of them as the parties may agree to act with said Setton may choose an umpire and the award of such shall be entered as the decree of the court And the same is ordered accordingly and the cause ~~is continued~~ is continued



Henry C. Blough

as { Deane

A. J. Hart & others

Entered O.B. 141  
1871. Jan'y, Feb,

Entered this Deane

A. J. Morgan

Decr. 21<sup>st</sup> 1870



Virginia

At a County Court continued and held for Lee County,  
at the Court house thereof, on Wednesday the 21<sup>st</sup> day of  
December 1870.

Henry C. Slensip

against

A. J. Hart et al

Plaintiff

Defendants

In Chancery.

By agreement of the parties in this cause, It is ordered that  
Jonathan Richmond, one of the arbitrators heretofore selected by  
the parties to aid in settling the difference in this cause, he and  
he is hereby removed as such arbitrator, and by like consent  
John W. Scott, and John A. G. Hyatt are substituted in the room  
and place of said Richmond who in conjunction with Dixon  
S. Linton one of the former arbitrators, are to settle the matters  
of difference in this cause; and should either of the parties be  
dissatisfied with either said Scott or Hyatt, then such one of  
them as the parties may agree to act with said Linton may choose  
an umpire and the award of such shall be entered as the de-  
cree of the court, and the same is ordered accordingly, and the  
cause is continued,

Teste — James W. Orr, Clerk.



Henry C. Slump

vs Copy of Order  
(4 copies)

A. J. Hart et al

Executed by delivering  
& attested official  
copy to A. G. Whitt  
D. S. Linton & J. W.  
Scott of this order  
W. L. Hamblino



Virginia

At a Court of quarter-sessions continued & held for Lee County,  
at the Court-House thereof, on Friday, the 25th day of August 1871.

Henry C. Slomp

Plaintiff

against

A. J. Hart et al

Defendants

} In Chancery.

The award of D. S. Litton, David Cox, and J. W. Scott - the arbitrators to whom the parties in this cause referred the matters in controversy in this suit - having been filed at the June term 1871, and no exceptions being filed by either party to the same, and the Defendants waiving the rules as to themselves, It is ordered that the Plaintiff be summoned to appear here on the 1st day of the next September term, to shew good cause, if any he can, why the said award should not be entered up as the decree of the Court.

Teste. John B. West, D. Clk.



H.C. Kemp & wife  
vs. { copy of Decree of  
Court, (to shff of Wise  
County)

A. J. Hart et al.

1st day Sept. term 71.

This summons came  
to hand 26th Sept  
1871 to take to  
summon the  
party

C. W. Kilgore  
D. C. &  
C. S.

115  
50  
2250

to the Clerk  
See county  
Jovernil  
Va



The deposition of Carr Bailey & others taken at the house of Andrew J. Hart, in Turkey Cove Lee County Virginia, on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of January 1870 Pursuant to notice herein enclosed, which are intended to be read as evidence in behalf of Andrew J. Hart, in a suit now pending in the County Court of Lee County, wherein Henry C. Slump is Plaintiff and the said Andrew J. Hart is Defendant.

Carr Bailey a witness of lawful age after being duly sworn deposes and saith;

Question by The Defendant. Do you ~~re~~ recollect a conversation that occurred between the Plaintiff Slump and yourself in relation to pastures at his fields on Stockers Knob which fields are known as the Parsons fields, and if so state that conversation to the best of your recollection.

Answer. I do recollect a conversation between the Plaintiff Slump and myself in relation to his fields on Stockers Knob known as his Parsons fields. Some of my stock frequently ranged upon those fields, some hogs and cattle, and I asked the Plaintiff Slump, not to have my stock hurt or interrupted for that I could not keep them away. He replied, that my stock should not be interrupted, that they could run upon his fields, but that Bill Ward's and Jack Hart's stock should <sup>not</sup> run there.

Question by same were <sup>you</sup> frequently at those fields during the Summer of 1865 and if so please to state whether you saw any of my stock on those fields.

Answer. I was at those fields frequently during the Summer of 1865, looking after my <sup>own</sup> stock, and



I do <sup>not</sup> recollect of seeing any of the defendants' stock at or about those fields during that summer and further this deponent saith not

Carr Bailey  
B. W. Baker J.P.

Peter C. W. Reason an other Witness of lawful age after being duly sworn deposes and saith:

Question by the Defendant.

were you and William G. Hart both witnesses at a trial before George W. Young, which trial was between A. J. Estep and the said William G. Hart, and was not William A. D. Cook also a witness at said trial, and did you and the said William G. Hart, not testify and swear in opposition to that of the testimony of the said William A. D. Cook.

Answer I was at said trial, and William G. Hart and myself were both witnesses on said trial before said Young and said Cook was also a witness at said trial, and the said William G. Hart and myself did swear opposite to the testimony of the said Cook. And further this deponent saith not  
Peter C. W. Reason

Biddy C. Hart an other Witness of lawful age after being duly sworn deposes and saith:

Question by the Defendant: Do you or not know whether I pastured any Cattle or other Stock upon the lands of the Plaintiff Hemp, at his fields on Stuckers Knob known as his Parsons fields during the summer of 1865, or the same year that I lived on

William Ward's place on Stuckers Knob.

Answer I do know that the Defendant did not pasture any Cattle or other Stock upon the lands of the Plaintiff ~~Hemp~~ during the year mentioned, for he got pasture from Mr. Ward and pastured there. And further this deponent saith not

Biddy Hart  
B. W. Baker J.P.

Lee County to wit:

I Benjamin W. Baker, a Justice of the peace for the County aforesaid in the State of Virginia do Certify that the foregoing depositions of Carr Bailey, Peter C. W. Reason, and Biddy Hart, were duly taken, sworn to, subscribed, and confessed before me at the time and place mentioned in the Caption. Given under my hand this January the 15. 1870

*Justified*  
*for errors*

B. W. Baker J.P.



A. J. Harts

ad. } Depts  
3

H. C. Slump

Recd Sealed & filed

Jan 17 to Jan 1870

John B West, D.C.



Virginia Lee county to wit:--  
The deposition of M. G. Darkey Taken  
before me the undersigned a justice of  
the peace for said County, pursuant to  
a notice herewith filed - which are  
intended to be read as evidence in  
behalf of H. C. Slump in a suit now  
pending in Chancery in the County Court  
of Lee County Va - wherein the said  
Slump is Plaintiff and Andrew J.  
Heort is defendant -

M. G. Darkey a witness of lawful age  
being first duly sworn deposes and  
saith -

Question first by pl. ff -

Stole all you know  
in reference to an account, filed before  
John Riddle late Justice - ~~in~~ which H. C.  
Slump used on a trial of said accounts  
before said Riddle said account and  
items thereof being against A. J. Heort -

Answer

A true & correct copy of an account that John Riddle Esq.  
handed me of H. C. Slump against A. J. Heort & this is  
the same account marked A The amt of said account is  
\$17, 90 <sup>cs</sup> & further that I saw the same on

M. G. Darkey



Henry C. Slimp an other witness of Lawfull age  
being duly sworn deposed and sayeth that  
the account of \$17,900.50 as made of and herewith filed &  
marked A. in my favor against ~~the~~ A. J. Hart  
is correct. ~~to~~ the best of his knowledge and that the sums  
charged for as set forth in said account was at reasonable  
prices at their respective dates

Further the parent with not

Henry C. Slimp,

Virginia Lee County to wit:

I the undersigned a Justice of the  
peace in and for Lee County Virginia  
do hereby certify that the foregoing Depositions  
of Mr. J. Parkey and Henry C. Slimp were  
taken sworn to and subscribed before me  
at the Tavern house of James R. Noell in  
Furnville Lee County Virginia on the 18th day  
of January 1870 for the purposes mentioned in  
the notice and caption accompanying these  
depositions. Given under my hand this 18th day of  
Jan. 1870 James C. Hittell Jr.



H. Co. Shirs

v. { Leis

N. J. Hart

-



Henry C. Slings

vs 3 Nephos.

A. J. Harts -

---

Reviewed Sealed & filed

the 17th day of Jun 1870.

John B. West, D.C.



Pursuant to the accompanying notice herewith bearing date the 17<sup>th</sup> day of August 1869, I, the undersigned an acting justice of the Peace, in & for Lee County, proceeded at the same office of W. B. D. Lane, in the Town of Leesville, on the 18<sup>th</sup> day of August 1869, after 8 O'clock A. M. to take the deposition of John Riddle & others, to be read as evidence in a suit in Chancery now pending in the County Court of Lee County wherein H. C. Slomp is Pltff. and Andrew L. Hart & others are Defts.

John Riddle a witness of lawful age being first sworn, deposes & says,

By agreement of parties the taking of the depositions in the above case, was adjourned over, until the Court adjourned for dinner this day. August 18<sup>th</sup> 1869. Geo. B. Milbourn J. P. Met pursuant to adjournment. August 18<sup>th</sup> 1869.

Geo. B. Milbourn J. P.  
Question by Deft. Was there a warrant returned before you; as justice, between H. C. Slomp, & A. L. Hart, viz so state what proceedings were had thereon, & what disposition you made of said Warrant.

Answer. Henry C. Slomp warranted A. L. Hart and the Case was tried before me as a justice. Sometime in May 1868 and I gave a judgment against Slomp for Seven dollars & something and the Cost. It was night when I got through with the evidence and I took a memorandum of such accounts of the parties as I allowed them but I did not tell the parties then what my judgment would be. The parties agreed on the day of the trial in my presence that I should take the Case and dispose of it and as any judgment rendered, by a Justice of the Peace, District, that my decision should be final. I did not wish to have any thing to do with the Case but the parties requested me to take up the Case and decide it and accordingly after.



I had made my calculations of the accounts  
I rendered my judgment and no person calling  
for the execution for two or three months or  
more I still held it in my possession.  
Question by same.

Did H. C. Slemph within the periods required  
by law, <sup>at</sup> any time, while the judgment & execution was  
in your possession, apply either for an appeal  
from your judgment, or a new trial of the case.

Answer. He never applied at any time  
to me for an appeal. After I had delivered  
the papers to the officer I had a conversation  
with H. C. Slemph about a new trial and I told  
him that I thought the time had elapsed  
for granting a new trial but if he would  
see Judge Burns and get advice and if it  
~~had~~ was right for him to have a new trial  
I would grant it. I saw him afterwards  
and he told me that he had not said anything  
to Burns about it.

Question by same. Did you, or not, at any time <sup>after the trial</sup> say to  
H. C. Slemph, that you had not rendered a judgment  
and that, before <sup>you</sup> done so you would notify him  
that you were going to render judgment.

Answer. I did not promise to give him  
any such notice.

Examination by defendants counsel

Question by 1st? Did you at any time after the  
said trial, and before the expiration of thirty  
days, notify the defendant, in this case  
that, you had rendered judgment in the said  
case; and if so did you tell him what  
that judgment was?

Answer. I never notified him at any time that  
I had given judgment against him.  
Question 2<sup>d</sup>. ~~How~~ Could the defendant  
have, known by any means that you had  
rendered judgment, unless you had informed  
him or issued process against him  
within 30 days.

Answer. I don't suppose he ~~had~~ could  
2<sup>d</sup> Did or not the plaintiff, some 4 or 5  
days after the trial, leave a written  
application at your dwelling, which  
was either shown you, by <sup>some member of</sup> your family  
when you came home, or its contents  
told you by some of your family?  
asking <sup>you</sup> for a new trial, or appeal to  
said court?

Answer - a few days after the trial was  
before me I saw H. C. Slemph he informed  
me that Hiram yeary had informed the  
Slemph that I had given judgment  
against him. I told said Slemph that  
I had not yet decided, the said Slemph  
mentioned that he had other items that  
he could now put ~~now~~ in that he  
Slemph did not then know of. Witness told  
Slemph it was too late, he would have to bring in  
all his accounts at once. I can't state <sup>whether</sup> ~~that~~ this was within  
30 days after I gave the judgment or not.

Question 4<sup>th</sup> Did you or not issue an exe-  
cution on your judgment, within 30  
days, after the trial aforesaid?

My recollection is that I issued the execution  
within 30 days.



Question 5<sup>th</sup> Did you act as an arbitrator in the said Cause or as a justice of the peace,

I acted as a justice of the peace

Question 6. How long, was it after your rendered your judgement, before you went to Kentucky? and how long did you remain?

Answer. I gave the judgement before I went to Kentucky, but I dont know how long. I was gone to Kentucky some 100 or 12 days.

Question 7. From what manner in which you postponed your judgement, the length of time you was in issuing your judgement and execution, thereon could H. L. Stump have known before, the expiration of 30 days that you had decided said cause against him. Answer. He made no application to me to know the decision, and I know of no source from which he learned said fact, after I gave the judgement & execution the papers remained in my Office for a considerable time before they were called for, or delivered to the Officer.

Question 8. From your knowledge of this Cause, and, the facts you here <sup>stated</sup> in relation, thereto do you know, of any reasonable way in which the plaintiff could have ascertained in 30 days what your decision was.

I dont know unless he had come & asked me. I had made up my mind, but I did not write out the judgement until after I came back from Ky



Question 8<sup>th</sup>, you have stated, that, you did <sup>not</sup> commit your judgement, to writing until after you came back from Kentucky when did you form your first and settle your judgement, in your own mind?

I made up my decision in my own mind very soon after the trial, perhaps a few days  
Question, 9<sup>th</sup> You have stated in your examination in chief that <sup>the</sup> parties agreed, that your judgement should settle the matter or did the plaintiff say that he would, and appeal, new trial or other rights, or did you understand, you living out of this district as you did, that <sup>the</sup> parties agreed you might try the case, as a justice?

They agreed that I should try the cause out of their district, and that should be final but I understood that it was no more final than any other judgement I might render but I did <sup>not</sup> understand them to make any agreement that it was to be final with them.

And further this deponent I swear  
not - John Riddle

I the undersigned an acting justice of the Peace in & for Lee County, do hereby certify that the foregoing deposition of John Riddle, was sworn, depozed & subscribed to, before me, at the time & place & for the purposes mentioned in the Caption.

Given <sup>under</sup> my hand this the 18<sup>th</sup> day of August 1869.

L. B. Milbourn J. P.

Bill of cost  
Justice of the Peace for this deposition 75 cts  
J. Riddle & witness \$1.30 cts L. B. Milbourn J. P.



M. Hart.

vs 3<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>o</sup>.

H. C. Slomfer +

Recd of the justice before  
whom taken filed the  
18<sup>th</sup> of Aug 1869.

Holm B West D.C.



1

The deposition of M. G. Parkey, Elijah  
Manas, Wm. A. O. Cook, S. G. Reese and James M. Oliver  
Taken before me B. W. Barker  
J.P. at the dwelling house of John Center's  
Lee County Va., <sup>pursuant to notice herewith filed</sup> to be read as evidence in  
a certain suit in Chancery now pending  
in the County Court of Lee County, wherein  
Henry O. Slump is plaintiff and A. J. Hart  
is defendant.

1<sup>st</sup> Deposition

M. G. Parkey a witness of lawful  
age being first duly sworn depose and  
say -

Question first by plaintiff

Did you not pay \$14.32 the amount  
of a judgement & execution which James  
W. Arr sheriff said he held in his  
hands against H. C. Slump in favor  
of David G. Reese concerning a bill  
of cost in a suit in relation  
to the possession of a piece of Land.  
Answer by witness. <sup>(Latter clause of 1<sup>st</sup> Question objected to by defendant.)</sup>

I paid to Sheriff Arr \$14.32  
to satisfy a judgement & execution  
which he held against H. C. Slump  
in favor of D. G. Reese for that amount.  
Question by plaintiff

Did you not hear Defendant say he  
claimed no attendance tickets off, of  
Plaintiff, but that his attendance tickets were  
coming off, of D. G. Reese.

Answer. I did

Question by plaintiff

Was not the tickets referred to above



2  
Charged to Hb. Slump By A. J. Hart in  
an account formerly tried before  
Esq. John Riddle.  
Answer

They were amounting to \$3.58

Question By Plaintiff

What is labor worth per day, in  
our section? or what amount would  
A. J. Hart earn at scoring logs, killing  
hogs, grubbing &c by the day?

Answer by witness

Fifty cents per day.

Question by Plaintiff

Did you the account of Hb. Slump  
against A. J. Hart on former trial  
before John Riddle Esq.

Answer by witness

I did.

Question

Did you observe any  
unreasonable charges according  
to rates in that account?  
Answer. I did not

Question by Defendant

Was the money you paid to Sheriff  
arr, any thing concerning the suit  
between me & Plaintiff.

Answer by witness

I don't know whether or not  
except the two tickets referred to,  
of \$3.58 which was in Hart's former  
account before John Riddle Esq.

Question by Plaintiff

amount of

Did Esq. Riddle allow the tickets to me?

3  
Answer I know nothing about that.

Further this deponent saith not,  
attendance claimed — M. G. Parkey  
2nd. The Deposition of Elijah Hanks.  
Question by Plaintiff

Did you not mow for me at the  
same time that A. J. Hart did, <sup>some time in July 1865</sup> and do  
as much work as he did, and how much  
did you charge me per day?

Answer by witness

I did mow at the same time, I think  
I <sup>do</sup> as much work as Hart, and  
he paid me 50 cents per day in store  
goods. and the time was in July 1865  
that we done the mowing.

Question.

Was 50 cents a fair price for  
Hart's mowing per day.

Answer by witness

It was

Question, by Plaintiff

What amount per day have you  
generally been paid in the East end of  
Lee Co Va for doing good work on  
the farm of any description

Answer by witness

Fifty Cents.

Question by Defendant

Was 50 cents a fair price for labor per  
day allowing for hay \$3 or \$4 per  
bu. for salt

Answer I don't hardly think it is  
a fair price, but I have had it to  
give. Further this deponent saith not.  
witness <sup>his</sup> Elijah Hanks



attendance charged

Dr. W. Barker & P. L. Co.

1. J. Smith

10. Jackson

Dr. L. Smith

Mr. J. Parker  
Elijah Manes  
Ed. D. Cook mt  
D. G. Reese mt  
James Olinger  
Mrs. G. Hunt  
Charlotte Hart



A. C. Slump & A. P. Hart at Esq. Riddle's  
Answer by witness  
I was.

Question Did not A. Hart there on  
trial, admit the account of A. C. Slump  
against him, before Esq. Riddle  
Answer,

To the best of my recollection  
he admitted the account,

Question by Plaintiff

What would be a reasonable  
price for labor per day by A. P. Hart  
in the year 1865.

Answer Fifty Cents.

Question by Plaintiff

What amount would be reasonable  
for the services of a small mule per  
day in <sup>the year</sup> 1865 or 6.

Answer,

I reckon about 16 $\frac{2}{3}$  cents per day

Question by Plaintiff

How long have you been  
acquainted with the said A. P. Hart  
Answer Some 3 or 4 years I reckon

Question by Plaintiff

From the general reputation of  
A. P. Hart would you believe him  
on oath.

Answer by Plaintiff

From the reputation of the people  
I would not like to

Question by Plaintiff.

Did you ever see A. C. Slump's account  
against A. P. Hart, or a copy thereof

Answer by witness

I suppose I did



3<sup>rd</sup> Deposition.  
from H. J. Cook's Deposition.  
Question by Plaintiff.

Was you present & did you hear  
a conversation which took place  
between H. C. Glempe & A. I. Hart  
concerning some pasturing, which  
said Glempe claimed Hart was due  
him for, an

Answer by witness

I was present

Question by Plant,

State the conversation

Answer by witness

Capt. <sup>J. C.</sup> Glempe & I, were together and happened to  
meet up with A. I. Hart. in conversation  
raised between them about their settlement  
H. C. Glempe asked A. I. Hart if he,  
Hart had not got pasturing from  
him, Hart answered he had,  
and Glempe asked Hart how long  
he had pastured for him and  
how many head of ~~cattle~~ <sup>cattle</sup> Hart  
answered some 4 or 5 head  
one month or a month and  
a half, and Hart further said  
he was ready to settle with Glempe  
and what he owed Glempe he was  
ready to pay him.

Question by Plant,

Do you know of Hart's dogs even  
running in my corn field  
Answer

I don't recollect, I seen Hart  
going in the direction of Glempe's premises  
hunting dogs several times and heard of  
of his dogs being in Glempe's corn

Question by Plant,

was you present in a former trial between



J. G. Rees's Deposition continued;  
Question by Plaintiff.

Do you consider that you owe to A. J. Hart on settlement tickets to the amount of \$3.58 - for cost going from you to Hart, which seems to appear in an account of Hart's against A. C. Slump -

Answered by witness

I consider I owe the tickets to Hart and will allow the amount of them on settlement with Hart.

Question by Plaintiff

Have you seen the account of A. C. Slump against A. J. Hart or a copy thereof, which was brought up in a trial heretofore before Esq. Kiddle

Answered  
I have seen an account of A. C. Slump's against A. J. Hart, but can't say whether it agrees with the account brought before Esq. Kiddle or not,  
Question

are not the accounts you see of Slump's against Hart reasonable for their respective dates  
Answered

A. C. Slump's charges against Hart seem very reasonable except 8 lbs of Iron, which would be owing to quality.

Questions by Plaintiff,

What amount per day did you charge me for working on house &c at the time A. J. Hart worked for me and did you do equal work with Hart?



Answered by witness

I charged you 50 cents per day  
and to the best of my knowledge I did  
as good work as A. J. Harton  
Q. by Pft.

Did not A. J. Hart admit my  
account before Esq. Riddle on a former  
trial.

Answered

I don't recollect whether he did  
or not.

Q. by P.

Was you ever present at my house  
and see A. J. Hart get any of the  
following articles viz. Salt, Iron, Tobacco,  
Leather &c, in the year 1865 &c.

Answered by witness

I saw him get some salt  
and probably some other articles but  
can't recollect definitely about the  
other articles

Questions by Plaintiff

What has been the customary price  
of a man's labor per day at all ordinary  
work in our neighborhood

Answered,

Fifty Cents per day

Question by Plaintiff

What <sup>would</sup> a small mule be worth per  
day to go to mill and pack on.

Answered by witness

I would suppose if a man made a  
charge of it, it would be worth 25 cents  
per day provided the animal was  
fed



Question by Plaintiff

Was not all the charges therein reasonable and fair according to their respective dates

Answer by witness

They were a portion of them being as low as articles now sell ~~for~~.

Question by Defendant

Did the conversation referred to in your first question by H. C. Slump occur at the time my son and I met you and H. C. Slump in the field known as the Island field

Answer

Yes Sir that's the time

Question by Plaintiff

were you a witness between H. C. Slump & myself in our trial before Esq. Riddle

Answer by witness

I was.

Q. Did you not swear what you knew about the matter at our trial before Esq. Riddle

Answer by witness

Of course I did the same thing

Question by Plaintiff

Is not your recollection at present concerning the trial between A. Hart and H. C. Slump, as accurate now as it was then

Answer I think it is Sir



Question by Plaintiff

Has there not been further questions asked you concerning this case, that were not, at the former trial before Riddle?

Answer by witness

Of course there has.

Question by Defendant

What particular question are asked in this deposition that were not on trial before Riddle

Answer - I have no recollection of being asked about the mule service, or the fairness of H. C. Slemmons' account, or the admittance of H. C. Slemmons' account by Hart before Esq Riddle

Further this deponent saith not attendance claimed. William A. D. Cook

4<sup>th</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup>

B. M. Baker & Co.

David H. Rees's Deposition

Question by Plaintiff -

Was not A. Hart a witness for you against me in a certain suit we had about the possession of some land.

Answer by witness

He was a witness for me

Question by Plaintiff

Has the entire cost of that suit coming from Capt H. C. Slemmons been paid to you.

Answer, It has - by M. & Parkey for said Slemmons



D. L. Rees's deposition continued.

Q by Deft.

Was you not a witness between  
H. C. Slump and myself in a former  
trial before Esq. Piddle,  
Answer

I by Deft. I was.

Did you answer all question  
asked you by H. C. Slump on our  
former trial

Answer I did fair questions  
relative to the case

Question by Deft.

State the conversation you heard  
between H. C. Slump & A. Hart when  
you saw him receive the salt  
referred to.

Answer

H. C. Slump remarked to A. Hart  
that he would have to charge  
him very high for said salt, and  
Hart remarked he would make  
the second charge.

Q by Deft.

Was the ticket referred to going  
from you to me rejected or admitted  
in A. Hart's account against H. C. Slump  
Ans - by witness

My recollection is that they  
were rejected and handed back  
to Hart.

A by Deft.

Do you remember of Slump having  
got any of the articles charged him by  
A. Hart.  
Ans. I don't recollect any of the items of Hart's  
account at present, which Slump received.



Further this deponeth saith not.  
attendance claimed David G. Reese

B. W. Baker G.P.  
5th James M. Olinger Deposition

Q by Plt.

Have I not put notes in  
your hands for collection against  
A. J. Hart which bore date after or later  
than 1860

Answer by witness

He Glump put a note in my  
hands for collection of \$3.75 which was  
due due 25th Dec 1860 against A. J. Hart  
which I proceeded with according  
to Law and Hart made no defense  
and as ~~there~~ no credits <sup>proceeded against Hart 1st Jan 1861</sup>

He Glump warranted A. J. Hart on  
an other note of \$6.39 which I  
proceeded with on the 1st January 1861  
and said Hart made no defense  
whatever.

Q by Pl.

Did Hart ever claim for work  
<sup>for my Mother in the year 1860</sup>  
done on Cherry to the amount of \$2.25  
<sup>as an offset</sup> against the above mentioned notes.

Answer

He might have claimed it  
but I have no recollection of it.

Q by Plt.

14th Jan 1870

Did not Hart say he claimed  
it before Richmond but got no credit  
and by witness

He did



James M. Clinger Deposition Continued,  
I by Plf.

Did you not help me score logs  
at the same time that Start did down  
on the River in the year 1866.

Ans. I helped on 2 days my recollection  
is in 1866, at the same time Start  
did

Q. <sup>Pl</sup>What did you charge me per day  
for scoring logs.

Ans. by witness in

He paid me 50 cents per day.

Q. by Deft.

How much per day would  
you be willing to mow for  
or what amount per day is mowing  
worth. by the day

Ans. I would think a good hand  
ought to earn 75 cents per day

Q. by Deft.

Was the notes you collected  
off, of me going to H. C. Glenn as  
administrator or not  
Ans. the one of \$6.39 were going to the  
adm<sup>r</sup> of J. S. Glenn's ~~and~~ Est, the other of  
\$3.75 was going to H. C. Glenn individually

Q. by Deft.

Do you know how much scoring  
I done or how much I was to  
get per day.

Ans. I don't.

Q. by Plf.

What time in the day did we  
meet to work at the scoring of the logs  
Ans. Not before nine or 10 o'clock



it being very cold weather and short  
days ~~~~~

Q by self

Did I work on the day you  
met so late -  
and by witness

My recollection is you did.

Further this deponent saith not

Cost 50 cts

Adjourned to convene on the 15<sup>th</sup> James M. King  
Jan 1870 at 8 o'clock P.M. at the dwelling house of A. Hart

B. W. Baker J.P.

January 15<sup>th</sup> 1870 met agreeable to  
Adjournment and now continue  
taken Depositions.

1<sup>st</sup> Dep on 15<sup>th</sup> Jan

William G. Hart's Deposition.

Question By Plf.

Did I ever agree to pay you \$2.00  
that your Father paid Barrons, his  
subscription to McKinseys school?  
Answer.

He Glump agreed to pay the  
Schooling but whether he does it or  
not I don't know.

Question by Plf.

How long did you attend school  
and go from my house  
Answer

I disremember the exact time

Q by Plf

Was it as much as 2 or 3 weeks?  
Answer.

It might have been as much  
or might not, I disremember

Q by Plf. Did I agree to pay Mr McKinsey for  
your schooling



Continued

Answer by witness,

A. C. Slump agreed to pay Mr. McKinsey for my schooling and the witness further avers and states that he had quit his father at this time he went to school and had been working for Slump some time, and I told Mr. McKinsey that ~~Mr~~ Slump would settle my schooling and upon that statement he McKinsey admitted me in school  
Q by Pff.

I never agreed to pay to you then, but to McKinsey  
answer - You agreed to pay McKinsey for me.  
Q by Pff.

Did you ever let your Father have the schooling debt on me.  
answer -

I did not that I knew of

Q by Pff

Did your fathers Hogs ever run in my Corn any  
answer.

one or two of my Fathers Hogs run in ~~my corn field~~ <sup>my corn field</sup>  
~~there~~, I dont know which, nor how long

Q by Pff.

Did you ever hear your father say he ever got a pair of shoe letters from me.

Ans. I heard him say that he got one pair of upper leathers, which Slump owed to me, for the leather that I borrowed of him



I by P[er] Did your Father ever get any word  
of me.

Ans. It seems to me that he did  
but I don't know

Q by P[er] What is the general rule for  
a mans wages per day on the farm  
in our Country

Ans. 50 Cents per day except Harvesting  
or mowing.

Q by P[er] Did you ever hear your father or  
Mother say that they had received  
things of my wife that I had  
not charged to them on former trial

Ans. I never did

Q by P[er] Do you know of your Mother ever  
getting any Cornetio of my wife

Ans. I do not.

Q by C[ounsel], Q. objected to by Plaintiff  
Was not you, Wallas Reason  
and David Cook all three sworn  
in a suit before Eog Young and did  
not David Cook swear ~~posit~~ way  
and you and Reason the other  
answered He did.

Further this deponeth saith not  
attendance claimed William G. Hart

B. W. Baker J P



7<sup>th</sup>  
The Deposition of Charlotte Hart,  
Q by Pff.

Did you ever know of your  
Husbands getting any salt, Iron or  
Tobacco, of me?  
Ans. I new of my husbands getting a  
little salt, and I heard him say  
I think that he got some 4 or 6 lbs of  
Iron. I dont know of his getting  
any tobacco

Q by Pff

Did my wife let you have  $2\frac{1}{2}$  yds  
of Domestic the skirts of two  
worsted dresses, and a pieced  
bed quilt for which you was to  
do some weaving?

Ans. She let me have  $2\frac{1}{2}$  yds of Domestic  
but no skirts of worsted dresses, but  
She let me have a pieced bed quilt  
but I was not to do weaving for  
the same.

Q by Pff. What was the Domestic and quilt  
worth —

Ans. the Domestic was worth 25-cents

and the quilt was worth  $35\frac{1}{3}$  Cents

Q by Pff. How was you to pay for the Domestic  
and quilt.

Ans. For the Domestic in working and  
was to pay for the quilt in butter or soap

Q by Pff

get some soap grease of my wife and  
Did you ever agree to make up some  
soap grease on the halbes.

Ans. I made an effort for some grease to  
make up on the halbes, but failed  
but she furnished me with grease and I  
made her a pot of soap. She pay~~ing~~<sup>was to</sup> me for  
one half of the soap made, but never done it.



Q by Self Did A. I. Hart bring you the sack of soap grease?

Ans. He did bring me a sack of beef bones.

Q by Self. How many lbs was there of the soap grease A. I. Hart brought you from my wife?

Answer. My recollection is there was about ~~thats~~ of net grease agreeable to all rules about 8 lbs.

Q by Self

How much is soap grease worth per lb  
Ans. about 3 or 4 cents

Q by Self

Did you know of my wifes ever getting her part of the soap  
Ans.

I know she said she got it all mine & here too

Q by Self How much is soap, <sup>generally</sup> worth  
per lb,

Ans.

The customary price is 10 cents per lb

Q by Self

Did you bring up the things you paid for the Domestic & quilt in your Husbans account against the Clerk in a former trial  
Ans. I did not.

Q by Self

Can you read writing

Ans.

No Sir I cant. I know some few letters but to read it I cant



Q by J. P.

You Can't say then whether your husband H. Hart brought up or not your account against Sloop in former trial before Esq. Riddle

Ans. He did not all, he had in the weaving coloring, seizing & spooling of a piece of cloth, 28 yds coloring sized 14<sup>th</sup> spooled 14 and wove 14<sup>th</sup>

Further his depositions said not

attendance <sup>her</sup> Anna

Charlotte Hart

B. W. Baker J. P.

Lee County to wit:

I Benjamin W. Baker, a Justice of the Peace for the County aforesaid, in the State of Virginia do Certify that the foregoing depositions of Green M. Parker, Elijah Maness, ~~James~~ William A. D. Cook, David G. Reese, James H. Olinger, William G. Hart and Charlotte Hart were duly taken, sworn to, subscribed, and confessed ~~that~~ before me at the time and place mentioned in the Caption, given under my hand this January 15. 1870

Justice Fee  
for circuits \$8.00

B. W. Baker J. P.



Henry C. Slomp Plaintiff vs. Andrew  
J. Hart Defendant. The undersigned to whom  
was referred the matter in controversy between the  
parties after hearing the parties and the evidence  
adduced by the parties we award that the plff.  
Henry C. Slomp shall pay to the said Andrew J.  
Hart, the sum of three dollars with legal  
interest thereon from the first day of May 1868 till  
paid, and the said Plaintiff Henry C. Slomp shall  
pay to the Deft. A. J. Hart, the amount of all the  
cost expended by him in defending said suit  
before Justice Riddle and also in court, and that  
the plff. H. C. Slomp shall pay his own cost in prosecuting  
his said suit before Justice Riddle and also in court,  
and shall also pay all the cost of the arbitration except  
as hereinafter named; The said Defendant A. J. Hart  
shall pay all the cost for the first Saturday in May  
last at which time the arbitrators and parties were  
to meet and settle the matter, but at <sup>the</sup> said Deft's  
motion the said arbitration was continued till this  
time, and we further award that the plff. H. C. Slomp  
~~shall~~ and the Defendant A. J. Hart shall to us  
as arbitrators eight dollars, four dollars to be paid  
by each, and four dollars to be paid to D. S. Litton  
and two dollars each to John W. Scott and David  
Cox. Given under our hands this June 3, 1871

D. S. Litton }  
David Cox } Arbitrators  
J. W. Scott }



Henry C. Slump  
Plff. v.s. A. J. Hart  
Deft.  
Award of arbitrator.

---

1870 June 3rd. Filed.



1865

July 21

			Dr	Cr
July 31	To 23 ts Saddle	\$3	38	
	To one pair of Bottoms per Brady		75	
Nov 5	to 12 ts of Salt by your self		72	
7	to (1) one pair up feathers		75	
30	to (1) one plug of tobacco got by your wife		40	
December 11	to (25) ts of Salt		1 50	
25	to 2 pair of Shovelathers up & sold	2	50	
February 24	1866 to one plug of tobacco		40	
May 23	to 8 ts of Iron		1 00	
	To pasturing 40 head of Cattle in month			
	at one one dollar & fifty ct per head	7	50	
	To feeding 2 hogs on Corn field one week		1 00	
	To some planer got at John Colyers sawmill you know how much			

~~81790~~

Date	Description	Amount
July 22 <sup>nd</sup> 1865	Cr by 2 days mowing	\$1 00
Nov 5 <sup>th</sup>	Cr by half days work done on stable	25
	Cr by one day work done on stable	50
	Cr by helping Bais Shop & stable one day	50
20 January 1866	Cr by 2 days, Skoring & Logging	1 00
	Cr by helping kill hogs one day	50
	Cr by one bushel of Rye for James Rice & William Rice	50
May 1865	Cr by grubbing one day	50

Balance ~~forward~~ -

8/3 - 15.

~~4.75~~

Helsing



2 I sign the within agreement  
 for the return of the King's High Road  
 to the King's High Road

The 6th March 1868

I sign Back to the King's High Road within claim of debt  
 of \$13,150.00

A. J. Estep

Andrew Jackson  
 Harris  
 in Apt with  
 Henry C. Slamp

H. C. Slamp

\$13,150.00  
 7.50  
 5.45

15-60  
 6  
 93,600

25  
 60  
 1560

93

936  
 130  
 280,80

280 80  
 15 60 00  
 1840,80



Know all men by these presents that I A L Pordemore  
Am \_\_\_\_\_ held and firmly bound unto  
Andrew J. Hart and Harvey T. Wallen in the sum of Twenty  
dollars for the true payment thereof well and truly to be  
made to said Hart and Wallen I send ~~expressly~~ my  
Executors and administrators \_\_\_\_\_ firmly by these  
presents, witness my hand and seal this 15<sup>th</sup> day of  
May 1869

The condition of the above obligation is such that whereas  
Henry C. Slomp lately before the justices of our county court of Lee  
county did obtain an injunction against the above named  
Andrew J. Hart and Harvey T. Wallen to restrain and inhibit  
the said Hart and Wallen and all others concerned from all  
further proceedings upon the judgment at law in the bill  
mentioned until the further order of the court.

Now if the said Henry C. Slomp shall will and truly  
pay all such costs and damages as may be awarded against  
him upon the trial of said suit and shall also pay said  
judgments in case the injunction aforesaid shall be dissolved  
then this obligation to be void, otherwise to remain in full  
force.

A L Pordemore (Seal)  
X (Seal)



Henry C. Slings

as { Injunction Bond  
Andrew J. Hart and



Mersey, G. I am in account with the And, J. Heart

1860	To two dollars & 25 cts for work on a chimney at your Mother's	4 1/2	\$2.25 cts
1865	To two days mowing at one dollar per day		2.00
	To two days work on a stable this side of the dwelling house		2.00
1 1/2	To two days <sup>work</sup> on the other side of the dwelling house		2.00
	To two & a half days work down the river on saw logs		2.50
1	To one days work raising your shop		1.00
	To one days cutting briars		1.00
	To one pair of upper leathers for shoes I sent you		1.00
504	To service of mule two day 50 cts per day	50	1.00
	To two dollars I paid Baird for schooling you a good horse William D		2.00
	To six pounds butter one dollar 10 pounds soap 10 cts		1.40
	To two pounds butter 33 1/2 cts and 2 pounds soap 20 cts		.53
	To one pound of butter 16 cts & one pound soap 10 cts		.26
	To coloring & weaving 14 yards & sewing & plating & warping the same		2.16
	To a pack of dried peaches out of the shed		.50
1866	To a pot of soap I had made your wife & I was to have half the soap & you was to pay		
	Me for my half suppose my part would 25 or 30 pounds		2.50
1867	To ticket from the clerk for tending our witnesses at Jonesville		1.72
	To a ticket for my attendance you & D G Reese at Jonesville		2.14
	To a ticket in same suit & same party at Jonesville		1.14
Do. H	To amount paid to James Rice		1.06
			30.46



And. J. Hunt

NB

16 to 18.1

p2940 et



Received of A. J. Wallin One Judgment and  
Execution on H. C. Slomp for \$4.97 with interest  
from 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May 1868 until paid and  
also the sum of \$3.50 for cost which  
I promise to collect or Return according to  
Law given under my hand This 30<sup>th</sup> day  
of June 1869 Charles Garrison Const



Marked A

1865  
July the 21<sup>st</sup> A. S. Hart

To H. C. Slump

Dr

To 23 Lbs. <sup>salt</sup> at \$3.00 pr bushels \$1 38<sup>cts</sup>

To one pair of bottoms pr biddy 0 75

Dec 5 To 12 pounds of salt for your self 0 72

To one pair of upper leathers 0 75

7<sup>th</sup> To one plug of Tobacco got by your wife 0 40

30<sup>th</sup> To 25 pounds of salt 1 50

Dec 11 To Two pair of shew leathers upper & solds 2 50

25 To one plug of Tobacco 0 40

Feb 24 To eight pounds of iron 1 00

May 3<sup>rd</sup> To pasturing four or five head  
of cattle one month at ~~\$4.00~~  
\$1.50<sup>cts</sup> per month per head 7 50

To feeding two hogs on corn field 1 week 1 00

To some plank got at S. C. Alingers saw mill  
you know how much \$17.50



H. C. Kemp & T. S. Harb Sr & J. G. Keen

1869 To 2 days attendance. Before Esq. Kiddle

1870 To 1 day before Esq. Barker To take deposition

1871 To serving a notice on Kemp

To 2 days attendance at Richmond



W. B. Stamp  
+ V. P. Hunt



H B Shemp To A J Hart 1  
1869 To attendance of Wife before Esqr  
Barker To make deposition 1 day \$0 50  
To attendance of daughter B L Hart 1 day  
before Esqr Barker 50  
To attendance of same 2 days before  
Arbiters 1 00



W C Slough & J Hart To J B C Oling  
1876-77 For 3 days attendance as a witness



Simp  
V Hart



Virginia

At a County Court continued and held for Lee County at the Court  
house thereof, on Wednesday the 21<sup>st</sup> day of December 1870.

Henry L. Slump

Plaintiff

against

S. J. Hart et al

Defendants

In Chancery

By agreement of the parties in this cause, It is ordered that  
Jonathan Richardson, one of the arbitrators heretofore selected by  
the parties to aid in settling the difference in this cause, he and  
he is hereby removed as such arbitrator, and by like consent  
John W. Seatt, and John A. G. Hyatt are substituted in the room  
and place of said Richardson, who in conjunction with Dixon  
S. Litton one of the former arbitrators, are to settle the matters of  
difference in this cause; and should either of the parties be dis-  
satisfied with either said Seatt, or Hyatt, then such one of them  
as the parties may agree to act with said Litton may choose an im-  
-pire and the award of such shall be entered as the decree of the  
court, and the same is ordered accordingly, and the cause is con-  
tinued.

Teste James W. Orr, Clerk

A copy

Teste James W. Orr, Clerk



$\frac{1}{2}$  Mader  
 $\frac{1}{2}$  obelenn  
 4 ounces ~~in~~  
 2 indige 00  
 14

7000      260  
 69.00      185  
 51.00  
 114.00      74.86  
 294.00

70  
68  
5114  
114  
514

$$\begin{array}{r} \text{H} \text{ } 9 \text{ } \text{H} \\ \hline 0 \text{ } - \text{ } 9 \text{ } 9 \\ \text{H} \text{ } 1 \text{ } 9 \\ \hline 8 \end{array}$$
$$\begin{array}{r} 1966 \\ 1971 \\ \hline 0094 \\ 1971 \\ 1966 \\ \hline 1005 \end{array}$$

2000  
6

12 000 10

~~12000~~

$$\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ 12 \\ \hline 44 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{r} 22 \\ 12 \\ \hline 44 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2 \\ \hline 3 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ \hline 164 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 170 \\ \hline 11 \\ \hline 181 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 260 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 270 \\ \hline 11 \\ \hline 281 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 15 \\ \hline 75 \end{array}$$

15  
245

1/2 hour of 2 hours

18/02/01

Dear Mother

~~2 1/2 - yards~~  
~~2 1/2 - yards~~

9200

*Stenodes*

$$\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 26 \\ \hline 66 \end{array}$$

~~0 4 5~~  
0 4  
9 8

00001

$$\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \\ \hline 0.10 \\ 0.17 \end{array}$$

$\frac{24-45}{25}$   
 $\frac{45}{25}$   
 99

4

$$\begin{array}{r} 11400 \\ 95- \\ \hline 209 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{r} 2600 \\ 7 \end{array}$$



Mr A. J. Harte you will take notice that on ~~the~~  
Friday the 14<sup>th</sup> day of January 1870 at the  
dwelling house of John Centers in Se County Turkey Co  
I will take the depositions of Wm. A. Book, David  
Greer & others to be read as evidence in a suit in  
Chancery now pending in the County Courts of Se  
County. I have in I am plaintiff and you & others  
are defence if from any cause said depositions  
are not taken or completed at said time or place  
I will adjourn from time to time & from place to place  
until I have finished giving under my hand  
this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of January 1870 you can attend if  
you please

Yours &c

Henry C. Lilling

To A. J. Harte



Lee Co. to wit,

+ on this the 14<sup>th</sup> day of January  
1870, A. J. Estep personally  
appeared before me  
and states that he served  
a true copy of the within  
notice on A. D. Hart  
on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of January  
1870.  
A. J. Estep

+ Sworn and subscribed to  
before me on this 14<sup>th</sup> January  
1870  
B. W. Wake J. P. C.

Notary Public for Lee Co. Va.  
J. P. C. 1870



Mr H Y Wallin you will take notice that on Friday  
the 14<sup>th</sup> day of January 1870 at the dwelling house of John  
Centers in the Turkey Cove in county va I will take the  
depositions of W<sup>m</sup> A. L. Cook David G. Rees & others  
to be read as evidence in a suit in chancery now  
pending in the County Court of Lee County where  
in I am plaintiff & you & A J Hart are defendants  
if from any cause said depositions are not taken or  
completed at said time or place I will adjourn  
from time to time & from place to place untill I shall  
have finished you can attend if you please given  
under my hand this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of January 1870

I am very Respectfully  
Henry C. Kimp



Lee Co Va to wit

on this the 14<sup>th</sup> day  
of January 1870 I John Center  
states  
that he served a true  
Copy of the within notice  
on the wife of N. J. Wallers  
in his absence on the  
11<sup>th</sup> of January 1870 John Center

Sworn to and subscribed to  
before me on this  
the 14<sup>th</sup> January 1870

B. W. Baker. JP

January 10<sup>th</sup> 1870

N. J. Wallers JP

W. L. King - from  
the N. J.



The Commonwealth of Virginia,

To the Sheriff of Lee County - Greeting: -

We command you to summon Andrew J. Hart, Harvey T. Wallen, and Charles Harrison to appear before the Justices of our County Court of Lee County, at the Court-House, in the Clerk's office, at Rules to be holden for the said Court, on the first Monday in August next, to answer a Bill exhibited in our said Court, against them by Henry C. Shump.

And have them there this writ. Witness, John B. West, Deputy Clerk of our said Court, at the Court-House this, 19<sup>th</sup> day July 1869, in the 9<sup>th</sup> year of the Commonwealth.

Teste - John B. West, Clerk



we the undersigned, accept the legal  
service, of the within unit.

July 19<sup>th</sup> 1869

Charles Harrison

A. S. Hunt.



Mr. H. C. Kemp

You will take notice that on Wednesday the 18<sup>th</sup> day of August 1869, at the Law office of W. B. D. Lane, in the Town of Leesville, I will proceed, commencing at 8 o'clock A.M., to take the deposition of John Riddle & others, to be read as evidence in a suit in Chancery, now pending in the County Court of Lee County, Virginia, wherein you are Complainant, and I and others are Defs. If said depositions are not completed, at the said time & place, I will adjourn from time to time, until they are completed. Given under my hand this the 17<sup>th</sup> day of August 1869.

Andrew E. Hart & others  
By W. B. D. Lane attorney.



A. L. Hart & others  
vs { Notice for Depositions  
H. C. Sloop

---

Executed by  
Delivering a true  
copy of the writ  
in to H. C. Sloop  
August the 17  
1869 Wm. W. Sage, J. C.



A. J. Heart.

Sir.

Take notice, that on  
the 18<sup>th</sup> day of January 1870, at the  
Town House of James R. Howell, in the  
Town of Jonesville Lee Co Va, I will  
proceed to take the depositions of M.  
G. Perkey and others; which when taken  
are intended <sup>to</sup> be used on my behalf  
in a certain suit in Chancery, now  
pending in the County Court of Lee  
County Va in which I am plaintiff  
and you are defendant.

Jan. 17<sup>th</sup> 1870 } Yours, &c  
H. C. Slemmons.



Virginia ~~State~~ ~~County~~ - to wit

I the undersigned Justice certify that John  
Alinger made oath before me that on this  
day he offered a true copy to J. Hart  
of the within notice - and that the same  
to him but the said Hart refused to  
accept the said copy given under my hand  
1875, 5th day July 1876

L. Stamp  
to notice  
J. Hart



Virginia At a County Court continued & held for Lee County Jan'y 18th 1870.

Henry C. Sluifer

Plff

vs.

A. J. Hart

Defc

} In Chancery.

xxxxxx On the motion of the Plaintiff, it is adjudged, ordered,  
and decreed that the Injunction in this cause which was dis-  
solved at the last term be and the same is hereby re-instated,  
and thereupon the parties mutually agree to submit the matters  
in dispute between them in this cause to Jonathan Richmond  
and Dixon S. Littow and their umpire in case they disagree  
and that the award of said arbitrators or their umpire shall be  
made the Decree of the Court, and the same is ordered accordingly  
and the cause continued. A copy - Teste J. B. West, D.C,



Diary F. L. Lott.



Virginia,

At a County Court continued & held for Lee County Jan'y 17<sup>th</sup> 1870.

Henry C. Slomp

Plff

vs.

A. J. Hart

Deft

} In Chancery.

\*\*\*\*\* On the motion of the plaintiff, it is adjudged, ordered, and decreed that the Injunction in this cause which was dissolved at the last term be and the same is hereby re-instituted, and thereupon the parties mutually agree to submit the matters in dispute between them in this cause to Jonathan Richmond and Dixon S. Litton, and their umpire in case they disagree (and that the award of said Arbitrators or their Umpire shall be made the decree of the Court, and the same is ordered accordingly, and the cause continued.

A copy -

Lester J. B. West D.C.



Jonathan Richmond

—



M<sup>r</sup> W C Slump you will take notice that on Saturday  
the 15<sup>th</sup> of January 1870 at my house in Lee County Turkey  
Cove I will take the depositions of Wallace Reason  
Biddy Hart and others to be read as evidence in a suit  
in Chancery now pending in the County Court of Lee County  
Wherein W C Slump is Plaintiff and I am defendant  
if from any cause said depositions are not taken  
or completed at said time or place I will adjourn  
from time to time & from place to place untill  
I have finished given under my hand this the  
14<sup>th</sup> of January 1870 you can attend if you please  
Yours &c A L Hart

To W C Slump



Lee County to wit,  
on this the 14<sup>th</sup>

of January 1870

David G. Reese states  
that he served a  
true copy of the within  
notice on Henry C.  
Sleep David G. Reese  
services claimed.

Sworn & subscribed to  
before me a justice  
of the Peace for Lee Co. Va.  
this the 14<sup>th</sup> January 1870

B. W. Baskin, J. C.



The Commonwealth of Virginia,

TO THE SHERIFF OF LEE COUNTY—GREETING :

WE COMMAND YOU TO SUMMON *A. J. Hacht, and Harvey T. Wallen*

to appear before the Justices of our County Court for Lee county, at the Court House,  
in the Clerk's Office, at Rules to be holden for the said Court, on the *first* Monday  
in *August* next, to answer *A bill in Chancery exhibited in*  
*our said court against them by Henry C. Slings*

And have then there this writ. WITNESS, *John B. West Deputy*  
~~HENRY J. MORGAN~~, Clerk of our  
said Court, at the Court House, this *3<sup>rd</sup>* day of *July* 1869, in the  
*93* year of the Commonwealth.

*John B. West D.* Clerk.



This day A. J. Estep appeared  
before me and made oath that he  
delivered to A. J. Mont. and Horace T. Wallen  
each a true copy of the within on the  
8<sup>th</sup> day of July 1869.

This 27<sup>th</sup> day of July 1869

William T. Legg J. P.

Henry C. Shook

at 3<sup>rd</sup> floor in City

A. J. Mont & H. T. Wallen

Aug. 10th 1869

H. P.

To receive the disbursements and all other concerned from  
all parties providing upon the judgment at law in the  
case mentioned under the within order of court. Bond  
for each and damages having been received.  
John B. McKee D. C.